# Feedback Analysis (Session: 2023-24)
Teacher's Feedback Analysis

| Topic	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree Nor Disagree	DisAgree	Strongly DisAgree	
Syllabus Is Suitable To The Course	39.00	55.88	4.19	0.93	0.00	100.00
Syllabus Is Need Based	37.00	57.83	4.21	0.00	0.96	100.00
Aims And Objectives Of The Syllabi Are Well Defined	45.57	50.12	2.35	0.00	1.96	100.00
Course Content Is Followed By Corresponding Reference Materials	38.12	58.32	2.12	0.78	0.66	100.00
Prescribed Books Are Available In The Library	50.06	39.88	4.45	5.61	0.00	100.00
Syllabus Has Good Balance Between Theory And Application	39.50	53.00	4.00	2.75	0.75	100.00
Syllabus Has Made Me Interested	47.00	50.25	1.00	1.75	0.00	100.00
Syllabus Of This Subject Increased My Knowledge And Perspective	43.25	56.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00
Programme Of Studies Carries Sufficient Number Of Optional Papers	34.38	58.00	2.85	4.77	0.00	100.00
books Prescribed As Reference Materials Are Relevant	34.25	60.00	3.83	0.96	0.96	100.00
Infrastructural Facilities Are Available In The Department	42.20	53.00	2.00	0.90	1.90	100.00
Staff Canteen Is Available	10.00	32.00	16.00	25.00	17.00	100.00
Tests And Examinations Are Conducted Well In Time	45.00	49.00	5.00	0.50	0.50	100.00
Freedom To Adopt New Techniques/Strategies of Teaching	54.00	45.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	100.00
freedom to adopt/adapt new techniques/strategies of testing	50.00	45.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	100.00
Environment In The Department Is Conducive	45.00	50.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	100.00
Administration Is Teacher Friendly	55.00	40.00	4.00	1.00	0.00	100.00
Institute Provides Support For Projects And Research Facilities	50.00	42.00	4.50	2.00	1.50	100.00

|						
Adequate Funding And Support For Upgrading Their Skills And Qualifications Is Provided	50.12	39.31	7.69	1.92	0.96	100.00
Provisions For Professional Development Are Non-Discriminatory And Fair	45.00	50.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	100.00

Students Feedback Form
Total No of Participant 4220

Sr. No.	Topic	Criteria	%age
1	Syllabus Covered	Below 30%	0.00
		30-54%	1.20
		55-69%	4.48
		70-84%	9.20
		85-100%	85.12

Sr. No.	Topic	Criteria	%age
2	Teachers Prepration	Indifferently	0.19
		Poorly	1.26
		Satisfactorily	35.53
		Thoroughly	62.15
		Won't teach at all	0.87

Sr. No.	Topic	Criteria	%age
3	Teachers able to communicate	Always effective	77.54
		Generally ineffective	1.52
		Just satisfactorily	10.32
		Sometimes effective	10.11
		Very poor communication	0.51

%age

Bar chart titled "%age" with x-axis categories: Always effective, Generally ineffective, Just satisfactorily, Sometimes effective, Very poor communication. Y-axis from 0.00 to 90.00. Legend: %age

Sr. No.	Topic	Criteria	%age
4	Teacher's Approach	Excellent	61.90
		Fair	2.36
		Good	11.24
		Poor	0.41
		Very Good	24.09

%age

Bar chart titled "%age" with x-axis categories: Excellent, Fair, Good, Poor, Very Good. Y-axis from 0.00 to 70.00. Legend: %age

Sr. No.	Topic	Criteria	%age
5	Internal Evaluation Fairness	Always fair	73.00
		Sometimes unfair	3.00
		Unfair	0.50
		Usually fair	23.50

%age

Sr. No.	Topic	Criteria	%age
6	**Assignments Performance Discussed**	Every time	69.00
		Never	1.20
		Occasionally/Sometimes	6.00
		Rarely	3.50
		Usually	20.30

%age

Sr. No.	Topic	Criteria	%age
7	**Opportunities For Students**	Never	1.49
		Often	13.93
		Rarely	2.85
		Regularly	72.35
		Sometimes	9.38

%age

Sr. No.	Topic	Criteria	%age
8	**Teaching And Mentoring Process**	Marginally	0.99
		Moderately	3.00
		Not at all	1.01
		Significantly	55.00
		Very well	40.00

%age

Sr. No.	Topic	Criteria	%age
9	**Opportunities To Learn And Grow**	Agree	34.87
		Disagree	0.58
		Neutral	1.21
		Strongly Agree	62.50
		Strongly Disagree	0.84

%age

Sr. No.	Topic	Criteria	%age
10	**Teachers Inform You About Programme Outcomes**	Every Time	76.00
		Never	0.70
		Occasionally/Sometimes	5.53
		Rarely	1.82
		Usually	15.95

%age

Sr. No.	Topic	Criteria	%age
11	**Mentor's Follow-Up**	Every Time	73.25
		I don't have a mentor	1.01
		Occasionally/Sometimes	3.13
		Rarely	1.78
		Usually	20.83

%age

Sr. No.	Topic	Criteria	%age
12	Teachers Illustrate The Concepts	Every Time	77.00
		Never	0.51
		Occasionally/Sometimes	3.49
		Rarely	1.49
		Usually	17.51

%age

Sr. No.	Topic	Criteria	%age
13	Teachers Identify Your Strengths	Fully	74.91
		Partially	5.45
		Reasonably	17.59
		Slightly	1.54
		Unable to	0.51

%age

Sr. No.	Topic	Criteria	%age
14	Teachers Are Able To Identify Your Weaknesses	Every Time	75.00
		Never	0.51
		Occasionally/Sometimes	3.49
		Rarely	2.99
		Usually	18.01

%age

Sr. No.	Topic	Criteria	%age
15	Quality Improvement Of The Teaching Learning Process	Agree	32.98
		Disagree	0.41
		Neutral	4.00
		Strongly Agree	62.03
		Strongly Disagree	0.58

%age

Sr. No.	Topic	Criteria	%age
16	Institute/ Teachers Use Student Centric Methods	Moderate	23.30
		Not at all	0.68
		Some what	1.53
		To a great extent	73.00
		Very little	1.49

%age

Sr. No.	Topic	Criteria	%age
17	Teachers Encourage You	Agree	28.41
		Disagree	1.40
		Neutral	4.76
		Strongly Agree	64.50
		Strongly Disagree	0.93

%age

Bar chart titled "%age" with categories: Agree (~28.00), Disagree (~0.00), Neutral (~3.00), Strongly Agree (~62.00), Strongly Disagree (~0.00). Y-axis from 0.00 to 70.00.

Sr. No.	Topic	Criteria	%age
18	Teachers Efforts To Inculcate Soft Skills	Moderate	22.26
		Not at all	0.87
		Some what	3.87
		To a great extent	72.00
		Very little	1.00

%age

Bar chart titled "%age" with categories: Moderate (~21.00), Not at all (~0.00), Some what (~2.00), To a great extent (~68.00), Very little (~0.00). Y-axis from 0.00 to 80.00.

Sr. No.	Topic	Criteria	%age
19	Use ICT Tools	30–49%	5.00
		50–69%	4.00
		70–89%	24.00
		Above 90%	62.00
		Below 29%	5.00

%age

| | 30–49% | 50–69% | 70–89% | Above 90% | Below 29% |

Sr. No.	Topic	Criteria	%age
20	**Overall Quality Of Teaching-Learning Process**	Agree	24.00
		Disagree	0.51
		Neutral	4.25
		Strongly Agree	70.50
		Strongly Disagree	0.74

%age

| | Agree | Disagree | Neutral | Strongly Agree | Strongly Disagree |

Alumni Feedback-1

Topic	Criteria	%age
Rate The Courses That You Have Learnt	Average	1.03
	Excellent	67.58
	Good	1.77
	Very Good	29.62
	Poor	0.00
Infrastructure And Lab Facilities	Average	5.16
	Excellent	48.60
	Good	11.28
	Very Good	33.46
	Poor	1.50
Teaching Faculty	Average	5.75
	Excellent	63.56
	Good	11.00
	Very Good	18.29
	Poor	1.40
Canteen Facilities	Average	13.00
	Excellent	26.13
	Good	25.36
	Very Good	14.58
	Poor	20.93
Library	Average	5.57
	Excellent	55.00
	Good	13.17
	Very Good	24.22
	Poor	2.04

Alumni Feedback-2

Topic	Criteria	%age
Office staff	Average	17.10
	Excellent	35.23
	Good	19.29
	Very Good	25.80

Alumni Feedback-1

Alumni Feedback-2

1

		Poor	2.58
		Average	16.98
	Hostel Facilities	Excellent	29.50
		Good	20.00
		Very Good	24.46
		Poor	9.06
		Average	3.94
	Educational Resources	Excellent	50.22
2		Good	15.13
		Very Good	30.00
		Poor	0.71
		Average	4.08
	Admission Procedure	Excellent	52.50
		Good	11.92
		Very Good	27.00
		Poor	4.50
		Average	10.00
	Overall Rating of the Institute	Excellent	57.56
		Good	10.73
		Very Good	21.30
		Poor	0.41

Alumni Feedback-3

	Topic	Criteria	%age
3	Any Grievances	NO	86.00
		Yes	14.00
	Member of Alumni Association	NO	49.00
		Yes	51.00

Alumni Feedback-3